1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2	Attorney General of California MATTHEW M. DAVIS Synamicing Deputy Attorney Congrel		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LEANNA E. SHIELDS		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 239872		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9401 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11			
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 950-2019-002305	
14	SEAN THOMAS LYNCH, P.A. 10280 Holden Trails Road		
15	Lakeside, CA 92040-2243	ACCUSATION	
16	Physician Assistant License No. PA 20059,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Rozana Khan (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as		
23	the Executive Officer of the Physician Assistant Board, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board)		
24	2. On or about November 4, 2008, the Physician Assistant Board issued Physician		
25	Assistant License No. PA 20059 to Sean Thomas Lynch, P.A. (Respondent). The Physician		
26	Assistant License No. PA 20059 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges		
27	brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2024, unless renewed.		
28	///		
		1	

1	chapter, a violation of the Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the board.	
2		
3	(d) The heard may order the licensee to pay the costs of monitoring the	
4	(d) The board may order the licensee to pay the costs of monitoring the probationary conditions imposed on the license.	
5	(e) The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a PA license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
6		
7 8		
9	7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521 states:	
10 11	In addition to the grounds set forth in section 3527, subd. (a), of the Code the board may deny, issue subject to terms and conditions, suspend, revoke or place on probation a physician assistant for the following causes:	
12	(a) Any violation of the State Medical Practice Act which would constitute	
13	unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.	
14		
15	8. Section 2227 of the Code states:	
16 17 18	(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:	
19	(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.	
20	(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.	
21		
22	(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.	
23	(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the	
24	board.	
25 26	(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.	
27 28	(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters	
	4	
	(SEAN THOMAS LYNCH, P.A.) ACCUSATION NO. 950-2019-002305	

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Patient A¹

- 12. On or about August 3, 2018, Patient A, a then 60-year-old female, presented with complaints of a sore throat for two (2) weeks and dark red brown vaginal discharge for (1) day.
- 13. According to records, Respondent performed an evaluation of Patient A, including a pap smear and ordering a urine analysis. During the pap smear, Respondent noted a small amount of blood on the speculum. Respondent then assessed Patient A with vaginal discharge, hematuria,² dysuria,³ and sore throat. According to records, Respondent advised Patient A to follow up, as needed.
- 14. According to records, Respondent did not order a gynecologic evaluation or any further testing until requested by Patient A's primary care physician.
- 15. On or about July 20, 2021, Respondent attended a subject interview with Board investigators. During the interview, Respondent agreed vaginal bleeding for a post-menopausal female requires additional consideration, as compared to vaginal discharge.

Patient B

- 16. On or about October 11, 2018, Patient B, a then 46-year-old male, presented with several complaints, including, but not limited to, complaints of muscle and joint pain for approximately three (3) weeks and blood in his stool for approximately one (1) month.
- 17. According to records, Respondent performed an evaluation of Patient B and assessed Patient B with chronic joint and muscle aches and diabetes.
- 18. According to records, Respondent did not perform an abdominal exam, anal exam, or rectal exam of Patient B.

///

¹ For patient privacy purposes, patients' true names are not used in the instant Accusation to maintain patient confidentiality. The patients' identities are known to Respondent or will be disclosed to Respondent upon receipt of a duly issued request for discovery and in accordance with Government Code section 11507.6.

² Hematuria indicates the presence of blood in urine.

³ Dysuria indicates pain or burning sensation during urination.

- 19. According to records, Respondent did not refer Patient B to gastroenterology or order an endoscopy or colonoscopy to determine a definitive diagnosis.
- 20. According to records, Respondent did not note any necessary follow up for Patient B, only indicating, follow up, as needed.
- 21. On or about October 12, 2018, according to records, Respondent informed Patient B that he had ordered a stool test for blood.
- 22. On or about July 20, 2021, Respondent attended a subject interview with Board investigators. During the interview, Respondent stated Patient B refused a physical examination of his abdomen and digital rectal examination. Respondent admitted this information was not documented in Patient B's records.

Patient C

- 23. On or about December 21, 2018, Patient C, a then 48-year-old male, presented with complaints of insomnia. According to records, Patient C indicated he had not slept in three (3) days and felt nausea and restlessness. Patient C also indicated having a problem with alcohol and stated he had been drinking alcohol "too much and too long." Patient C also requested assistance to stop his drinking.
- 24. According to records, Respondent performed an evaluation of Patient C and noted Patient C exhibited, among other things, elevated blood pressure, elevated heart rate, and an abnormal EKG, specifically, tachycardia and right axis deviation.
- 25. According to records, Respondent diagnosed Patient C with, among other things, alcoholism, elevated blood pressure, tachycardia, and insomnia. Respondent's treatment plan for Patient C was to begin a blood pressure medication and follow up with his primary care physician the following week. According to records, Respondent also provided Patient C with contact information for two alcohol treatment centers.
- 26. According to records, Respondent failed to investigate the causes of Patient C's elevated blood pressure.
- 27. According to records, Respondent failed to treat Patient C's elevated blood pressure with lifestyle modifications and two blood pressure medications.

28. According to records, Respondent failed to document what blood pressure medication was prescribed to Patient C, including the dose and/or frequency.

Patient D

- 29. On or about November 14, 2018, Patient D, a then 39-year-old male, presented with complaints of rectum discomfort and pressure for approximately one (1) week and frequent urination.
- 30. According to records, Respondent performed an evaluation of Patient D and noted moderate blood on a urine dip. Respondent diagnosed Patient D with rectal pain, urinary frequency, and hematuria. According to records, Respondent ordered a complete urine analysis, a urine culture, and a prostate-specific antigen. Respondent also ordered a blood count and metabolic panel which revealed Patient D had elevated calcium levels.
- 31. According to records, Respondent inserted a notation in Patient D's lab results indicating the metabolic panel results were normal.
- 32. According to records, Respondent did not communicate the elevated calcium level to Patient D or his primary care physician.
- 33. On or about February 8, 2022, Respondent attended a subject interview with Board investigators. During the interview, Respondent stated he verbally communicated the elevated calcium level to Patient D's primary care physician, but did not document this in Patient D's records.

Patient E

- 34. On or about September 7, 2017, Patient E, a then 59-year-old female, presented with complaints of pain in her left foot for approximately one (1) month.
- 35. According to records, Respondent performed an evaluation of Patient E and noted Patient E expressed feeling pain with palpation across her metatarsal heads and the hallux metatarsophalangeal joint. Respondent diagnosed Patient E with foot pain, pain in the left forefoot and toes. Respondent's treatment plan for Patient E included an order for a uric acid test and instructions to ice her foot and wear a stiff soled boot when using a shovel in her garden. According to records, Respondent also noted X-rays and follow up, as needed.

- (c) Respondent failed to accurately document Patient A's presenting issue as postmenopausal vaginal bleeding, instead documenting Patient A's condition as vaginal discharge; and
- (d) Respondent failed to recommend additional follow up medical care, instead only documenting "follow up, as needed."
- 40. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient B, which included, but was not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Paragraphs 16 through 22, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein;
 - (b) Respondent failed to perform an abdominal exam, an anal exam, or a rectal exam with an anoscopy, and Respondent failed to document that Patient B refused to allow Respondent to perform a digital rectal exam; and
 - (c) Respondent failed to document any further necessary follow up medical care, instead only documenting "follow up, as needed."
- 41. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient C, which included, but was not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Paragraphs 23 through 28, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein; and
 - (b) Respondent failed to properly manage Patient C's presenting conditions, in that Respondent failed to fully investigate the cause of Patient C's elevated blood pressure; Respondent failed to consider acute alcohol withdrawal as a possible source for Patient C's other presenting conditions; Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document an evaluation to determine the presence and/or absence of tremor and shortness of breath; Respondent failed to recommend lifestyle modifications and two medications for high blood pressure; and Respondent failed to document what medication was prescribed to Patient C and the instructions for this prescription.

1	Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521, subdivision (a), as defined by section 2234,	
2	subdivision (a), of the Code, in that Respondent violated provisions of the Medical Practice Act	
3	his care and treatment of Patients A, B, C, D, and E, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 1	
4	through 44, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth	
5	herein.	
6	<u>PRAYER</u>	
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
8	and that following the hearing, the Physician Assistant Board issue a decision:	
9	1. Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant License No. PA 20059, issued to	
10	Respondent Sean Thomas Lynch, P.A.;	
11	2. Ordering Respondent Sean Thomas Lynch, P.A., to pay the Physician Assistant	
12	Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on	
13	probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and	
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
15		
16	DATED: June 17, 2022 Kristy Voong for	
17	ROZANA KHAN Executive Officer	
18	Physician Assistant Board Department of Consumer Affairs	
19	State of California Complainant	
20		
21	SD2022800487	
22	83395463.docx	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		